

Report From Agency

# RULE REPORT

## Department of Commerce

Clearinghouse Rule No.: 10-007

Rule No.: Chapter Comm 134

Relating to: Meat Processing Facility Investment Credits

*Contact person for substantive questions:*

*Contact person for internal processing:*

Name Sam Rockweiler Name (same)

Title Code Development Consultant Title

Telephone Number 266-0797 Telephone Number

1. Basis and purpose of the proposed rule.

In accordance with section 560.208 of the Statutes, these rules would implement a program for certifying applicants as eligible for meat processing facility investment tax credits, and for allocating those credits to the applicants who become certified.

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

The rules would implement the provisions in 2009 Wisconsin Act 2 that are intended to encourage investments in meat processing facilities.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes were made to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate.

# FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

## Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 10-007

RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 134

RELATING TO: Meat Processing Facility Investment Credits

☐

Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)

1. Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.

Less stringent application requirements are not proposed for small-business applicants because uniform application criteria are expected to result in maximizing the fairness and effectiveness of the allocation of the tax credits.

2. Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.

No issues were raised.

*(Continued on reverse side)*

3. Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.

No substantive reporting would be imposed on small businesses.

4. Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.

The rules are not expected to impose significant costs on small businesses for other measures because the rules address submittal of documentation, and other activities, only by applicants that choose to pursue tax credits for investments in meat processing facilities.

5. Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

6. Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

# RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

## Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 10-007

RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 134

RELATING TO: Meat Processing Facility Investment Credits

Agency contact person for substantive questions.

Name: Sam Rockweiler

Title: Code Development Consultant

Telephone No. 266-0797

Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.

☒ Yes

☐ No

1. Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]

- a. ☒ Accepted
- b. ☐ Accepted in part
- c. ☐ Rejected
- d. ☐ Comments attached

2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]

- a. ☒ Accepted
- b. ☐ Accepted in part
- c. ☐ Rejected
- d. ☐ Comments attached

3. Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]
- a. ☒ Accepted
  - b. ☐ Accepted in part
  - c. ☐ Rejected
  - d. ☐ Comments attached
4. Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]
- a. ☒ Accepted
  - b. ☐ Accepted in part
  - c. ☐ Rejected
  - d. ☐ Comments attached
5. Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]
- a. ☒ Accepted
  - b. ☐ Accepted in part
  - c. ☐ Rejected
  - d. ☐ Comments attached
6. Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s. 227.15(2)(g)]
- a. ☒ Accepted
  - b. ☐ Accepted in part
  - c. ☐ Rejected
  - d. ☐ Comments attached
7. Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]
- a. ☒ Accepted
  - b. ☐ Accepted in part
  - c. ☐ Rejected
  - d. ☐ Comments attached